

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,121	06/22/2001	Peter J. Dronzek JR.	559-018	8226	
75	90 07/11/2003				
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1185 Avenue of New York, NY			CARTER, MON	CARTER, MONICA SMITH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3722	12	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/888,121	DRONZEK ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monica S. Carter	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to ich places the application	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See M	IPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as	on fee under s set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 June 2003</u>. Appl 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			1
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		•
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed am	nendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 31-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner	•
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		1
10. ☐ Other:		A. L. WELLINGTON	- All
BEST AVAILABLE CO	OPY	PERVISORY PATENT EXAI TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applican's newly set forth claim limitations (i.e., "said notches being located at the interface of the permanen and removable sections") require further search and/or consideration.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY